

Conditions and Modifications

Kenneth R. Slater, Jr.
Partner
Halloran & Sage LLP



Online Version

- Please note that the answers to the questions in the presentation are highlighted in **light blue** on the next slide.
- This presentation is for educational purposes and not intended to represent legal advice. Consult your town attorney in matters concerning your town.

Conditions

Conditions are requirements added to an approval that either mandate particular action by the applicant or impose limitations or restrictions on approved use/development

Examples-

- Condition that special permit use applicant must obtain DEEP approval of community wastewater system to serve multi-unit residential development
- Condition that sand and gravel removal operation authorized by special permit be limited to certain hours and days



Modifications


Modifications are imposed to make the development proposal conform to the regulations

- Example- Subdivision application approved with the modification that portion of proposed road having an 18% grade be changed to 15% to comply with grade requirement of regulations



Placing conditions on the approval of an application is a good way for the community to get something they ordinarily would not be able to get from the applicant.


- A. True
- B. False



Placing conditions on the approval of an application is a good way for the community to get something they ordinarily would not be able to get from the applicant.

- A. True
- B. False

Somewhat of a trick question. It really depends on the circumstances and what you have in your regulations



General limits on use of conditions

- If permissible for a particular type of application, conditions must be logically and reasonably related to the purposes of the governing statutes and regulations.
- Regardless of whether statutes may allow condition for type of application, the local regulations govern-
 - Example- If regulations specifically require Health Department Approval prior to approval of site plan, Commission cannot approve with the condition that it be obtained later

Limits on use of conditions

- Commissions should not approve an application subject to “conditions to be named later.”
- Risk automatic approval of site plan or subdivision if condition deferring approval to another agency can be seen as failure to act.
 - River Bend Associates, Inc. v. Planning Commission, 271 Conn. 41 (2004)




Limits on use of conditions

- Conditional approval dependent on action by other agencies is usually improper unless evidence on record demonstrates that approval is a probability
- Where permit allowing use will not become valid until favorable action by other agency, as with a special permit, evidence of probability isn't necessary



Limits on use of conditions

The amount of discretion a commission has to modify or condition a development application depends on the type of permit sought



Site Plans

- CGS specifically provide that the relevant commission may approve, modify and approve, or deny an application but “only if it fails to comply with requirements set forth in the zoning or inland wetland regulations.”



Site Plans

- Only conditions specifically authorized by statutes are those requiring a bond to secure any modifications of a site plan.
- Better for commissions to issue modified approval or, if modifications are substantial, instructions on how site plans must be modified to achieve compliance with the regulations.

Site Plans

Some conditions to site plans are generally permissible:

- **Examples:**

- Where site plan regulations specifically authorize particular kinds of conditions
- Conditions related to off-site improvements necessitated by development such as intersection improvements
- Administrative conditions such as notice to planning staff before commencement of work, requirements imposing order of improvements (S&E controls prior to other site work), inspection reports, etc.



Special Permits and Special Exceptions

- Commission or board may deny application or approve it subject to “conditions necessary to protect the public health, safety, convenience and property values.”
- Conditions imposed must be authorized by the regulations and only in accordance with the standards set forth in the regulations



Special Permits and Special Exceptions

- Courts have upheld use of fairly broad standards to deny a permit application but imposition of conditions are supposed to be based on more specific standards
- Conditions prescribing scope of use authorized only by special permit are usually enforceable



Variances

- Although there is no express statutory right to impose a condition to a standard variance, the CT Supreme Court held that it is permissible



Variances

- Conditions are a means of minimizing conflict of variance with zoning regulations
- Conditions must relate to use being proposed

806 Mass Ave, Cambridge, Ma
(Address)

Application is: Variance: Exterior rear addition of a Greek Revival Porch house found at the Historical Commission enlarged and the Porch door

Action or Relief Sought is:
art. 5.000, sec. 5.51

Copies of this application are on file at the City 795 Massachusetts Avenue, and at the Inspector, Lombard Building, Cambridge, Ma



Appeals from ZEO

- ZBA may reverse or affirm, wholly or partly, or modify the challenged order, requirement of decision of the ZEO
- Although titled an “appeal,” ZBA is actually making its own decision regarding compliance and has all the powers of the ZEO



Appeals from ZEO

- As with authority of the ZEO in issue the initial enforcement decisions, ZBA decision on appeal can include reasonable conditions related to zoning compliance.

Subdivision Applications

Subdivision statutes specifically allow commissions to modify applications

Courts are reluctant to approve conditions not directly related to requirements of regulations or assurances of compliance with regulations

- Such “conditions” may really be “modifications.” When a condition is needed to make the subdivision plan conform to the regulations, it is really a modification.

Subdivision Applications

- Conditions that address issues outside the scope of the regulations are likely to be held illegal by the courts



Subdivision Applications

Common conditions of subdivision approval:

- Improvements to adjacent streets and drainage when necessitated by development
- Dedication of land for open space
- Payments in lieu of open space
- Bonds
- Sequencing of work- ex.- E&S controls installed prior to construction



Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Applications

- IWWC may grant, deny or limit any permit application for a regulated activity
- May approve with whatever “terms, conditions, limitations or modifications” deemed necessary to carry out the policy of Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Act.



Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Applications

Conditions may include reasonable measures to mitigate impacts of the regulated activity which would:

- Prevent, minimize pollution or other environmental damage
- Maintain or enhance existing environmental quality
- In following order of priority: restore, enhance, create productive wetland or watercourse resources



Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Applications

Conditions may include:

- In some cases, offsite wetlands enhancements or mitigation
- Drainage modifications
- Buffers and conservation area signage
- Recording of conservation easement containing limitation on activities allow in conservation area



Reports from IWWC

- If wetlands involved, application must be filed concurrently (or previously) with IWWC
- May extend time period to allow for IWWC review
- May not make final decision until receipt of IWWC “report”
- Must give “due consideration” to IWWC “report”



Coastal Site Plans

- May be approved, conditioned or denied in accordance with the broad policy considerations set forth in the Coastal Area Management Act (CAM)

CONNECTICUT COASTAL MANAGEMENT MANUAL

SECTION 1

COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROCESS

Municipal Coastal Management Review Process Flowchart
 Coastal Site Plan Review Application Checklist
 Coastal Site Plan Review Comments Checklist Sample
 Coastal Site Plan Review Fact Sheet
 Coastal Site Plan Review Exemptions Fact Sheet
 Mandatory Referrals Fact Sheet
 Shoreline Flood and Erosion Control Structures Consistency Checklist
 State and Municipal Regulatory Jurisdictions Fact Sheet
 Watershed Management Planning Fact Sheet



Coastal Site Plans

- CAM supplements other land use regulations and may increase authority of Planning Commission to impose conditions on Subdivision application.
- Conditions must be based on appropriate standards and criteria



Amendments to Regulations

- **Generally inappropriate for a commission to place conditions on an amendment to regulations**
- **Regulations must be for general applicability and are not suited for individual conditions**
- **Modifications may be permissible- key is whether public is on notice of substantive change in proposed regulation**



Conditional/final subdivision approval

**Regulations can provide for conditional subdivision
(as distinct from subdivision approval with
conditions imposed)**



Conditional subdivision approval

Subdivider is not required to post security for public improvements-

Final subdivision approval issued when:

- 1) work is completed and approved; or,
- 2) security is provided



Bonding Requirements

Authorization to require bonds must be included in regulations

CITY OF GROTON SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS TABLE OF CONTENTS	
SECTION 1 - PURPOSE OF REGULATIONS	2
SECTION 2 - DEPARTMENTS	3-5
SECTION 3 - PROCEDURE	6
SECTION 4 - DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	7-10
SECTION 5 - GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	11-12
SECTION 6 - TIERIFICATION PLAN	13-17
SECTION 7 - PROPOSED STREETS	18-20
SECTION 8 - HEARINGS	21
SECTION 9 - AMENDMENTS	22
SECTION 10 - APPLICATION OF GENERAL STATUTES	23
SECTION 11 - FEE	24
SECTION 12 - SEVERABILITY	25



PA 11-79: Bonding requirements

Applies to site plan and subdivision approvals:

- Limits form of security
- Limits types and amount of permissible bonding
 - Post-acceptance maintenance bonds are impermissible
- Imposes deadlines for release



Bond, Performance Bond

PA 11-79: Bonding requirements

Form of bond:

“the commission shall accept surety bonds, cash bonds, passbook or statement savings accounts and other surety including, but not limited to, letters of credit, provided such bond or surety is in a form acceptable to the commission and the financial institution or other entity issuing any letter of credit is acceptable to the commission”

PA 11-79: Bonding requirements

Posting bonds:

- Can be posted anytime prior to completion of construction, except commission can require posting prior to commencement E&S
- Lot can't be sold unless all security posted
- Each phase of subdivision must be treated as separate subdivision for purposes of bonding



PA 11-79: Bonding requirements

Release of bonds:

On request for bond release or reduction, Commission must within 65 days either:

- release/reduce the bond;
- or provide a written explanation of uncompleted work



PA 11-5 – permit expiration

Site plan, Subdivision and Wetlands approvals

- **If approved prior to July 1, 2011 and not expired:**
 - **Site plan, standard subdivision plans and wetlands permits-**
 - Effective for 9 years after date of approval
 - Extensions up to 14 years after approval
- **Subdivisions over 400 units**
 - Effective for 14 years after date of approval



Subdivision enforcement

Principal enforcement tool is bonding

- **Obligation to call bond upon expiration of final subdivision to serve lots that have been sold**
- **No subdivision enforcement officer**
- **Limited rights of subdivision enforcement actions are becoming recognized by courts**



Waivers

- **When are they appropriate?**
 - Function of specific standards of regulations
 - No authority to waive unless specific standards are followed
 - Precedent- need sound factual/legal basis to treat applications differently in issuing waivers

Practical Consideration

Does it hurt to ask?

Unless directly prohibited by public policy, if applicant agrees to condition or doesn't appeal the condition, the condition is enforceable even if arguably beyond what could be mandated by commission

Upjohn Co. v. Zoning Bd. of Appeals, 224 Conn. 96 (1992)



Practical considerations

Is the condition “integral” to approval?

If found to be impermissible on appeal, court may:

- Strike it and order approval or,
- If a condition is integrally related to approval and commission had discretion to deny, court should remand to commission to consider denial or another proper condition


Your decision could make clear that condition is essential to approval




Is it appropriate: Condition a variance to allow year-round use to owner for her life?

A. Yes

B. No





Center for Land Use Education and Research at the University of Connecticut

Condition to variance allowing year-round use to owner for her life

NO

Zoning applies to conditions of land and can't be unique to owner the property.


Reid v. Zoning Bd. of Appeals, 235 Conn. 850, 858 (1996)



Is it appropriate: Condition attached to wetland permit requiring applicant to perform offsite remediation

A.Yes


B.No



Is it appropriate: Condition attached to wetland permit requiring applicant to perform offsite remediation

A.Yes

B.No



Condition attached to wetland permit requiring applicant to perform offsite remediation

YES


**Red Hill Coalition, Inc. v. Conservation Commission,
212 Conn. 710 (1989)**



Is it appropriate: Attach a condition to wetland permit requiring payment of money to offset wetlands impacts

A. Yes

B. No



Condition attached to wetland permit requiring payment of money to offset wetlands impacts

NO


Branhaven Plaza v. Inland Wetlands Commission of Branford, 251 Conn. 269 (1999)



Is it appropriate: Condition imposed to require applicant to provide public access to waterfront on coastal site plan?

A. Yes

B. No




Condition imposed to require applicant to provide public access to waterfront on coastal site plan

NO Conditions must be related to protection against impacts to coastal resources – 22a-106
DeBeradinis v. Zoning Comm'n, 228 Conn. 187 (1994)



Is it appropriate: Subdivision approval conditioned on the developer constructing off-site sidewalk to connect subdivision to existing off-site sidewalk?


- A. Yes**
- B. No**



Is it appropriate: Subdivision approval conditioned on the developer constructing off-site sidewalk to connect subdivision to existing off-site sidewalk?

A.Yes

B.No



Center for Land Use Education and Research at the University of Connecticut

Subdivision approval conditioned on the developer constructing off-site sidewalk to connect subdivision to existing off-site sidewalk

NO

**Buttermilk Farms, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Commission,
292 Conn. 317 (2009)**




© University of Connecticut. The University of Connecticut supports all state and federal laws that promote equal opportunity and prohibit discrimination.

Is it appropriate: Subdivision approval conditioned on the developer deed portion of land adjoining highway to permit town to widen road to town specifications

A.Yes

B.No



Center for Land Use Education and Research at the University of Connecticut

Subdivision approval conditioned on the developer deed portion of land adjoining highway to permit town to widen road to town specifications

YES

Weatherly v. Town Plan & Zoning Commission, 23 Conn. App. 115 (1990).




© University of Connecticut. The University of Connecticut supports all state and federal laws that promote equal opportunity and prohibit discrimination.

Is it appropriate: Condition attached to wetland permit requiring environmental consultant to be present to oversee construction of S&E controls

A.Yes


B.No



Is it appropriate: Condition attached to wetland permit requiring environmental consultant to be present to oversee construction of S&E controls

A.Yes

B.No



Condition attached to wetland permit requiring environmental consultant to be present to oversee construction of S&E controls

YES


Keiser v. Conservation Commission, 41 Conn. App. 39 (1996)



Is it appropriate: Condition added to approval of special permit application seeking expansion of nonconforming use of unoccupied floor space that a free standing sign located on the property be removed.

A. Yes


B. No



Is it appropriate: Condition added to approval of special permit application seeking expansion of nonconforming use of unoccupied floor space that a free standing sign located on the property be removed.

A.Yes

B.No



Center for Land Use Education and Research at the University of Connecticut

Condition added to approval of special permit application seeking expansion of nonconforming use of unoccupied floor space that a free standing sign located on the property be removed.

NO

There was no relationship between sign and the proposed application

Beckish v. Planning & Zoning Com., 162 Conn. 11, 16 (1971)



Center for Land Use Education and Research at the University of Connecticut

Questions????



© University of Connecticut. The University of Connecticut supports all state and federal laws that promote equal opportunity and prohibit discrimination.